

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

To: ☒ U.S. District Judge / ☐ U.S. Magistrate Judge ANDERSON

From: Karen Park, Deputy Clerk Date Received: 12/10/2007

Case No.: CV06-6281PA Case Title: Arif Durrani v. S A Holencik

Document Entitled: a) Notice of Motion and Motion for Default Judgment b) Memorandum of Points and Authorities  
c) Letter

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), it was noted that the following discrepancies exist:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 11-3.1         | Document not legible  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 11-3.8         | Lacking name, address, phone and facsimile numbers                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 11-4.1         | No copy provided for judge  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 19-1           | Complaint/Petition includes more than ten (10) Does or fictitiously named parties |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 15-1           | Proposed amended pleading not under separate cover                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 11-6           | Memorandum/brief exceeds 25 pages   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 11-8           | Memorandum/brief exceeding 10 pages shall contain table of contents               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 7.1-1          | No Certification of Interested Parties and/or no copies                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 6.1 | Written notice of motion lacking or timeliness of notice incorrect                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 56-1           | Statement of uncontroverted facts and/or proposed judgment lacking                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 56-2           | Statement of genuine issues of material fact lacking                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 7-19.1         | Notice to other parties of ex parte application lacking                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local Rule 16-6           | Pretrial conference order not signed by all counsel                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FRCvP Rule 5(d)           | No proof of service attached to document(s)                                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other:                    |   |

**Note: Please refer to the court's Internet website at [www.cacd.uscourts.gov](http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov) for local rules and applicable forms.**

**ORDER OF THE JUDGE/MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed and processed. The filing date is ORDERED to be the date the document was stamped "received but not filed" with the Clerk. Counsel\* is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83-7.

Date

U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge



- ☒ The document is **NOT** to be filed, but instead **REJECTED**, and is ORDERED returned to \*counsel. \*Counsel shall immediately notify, in writing, all parties previously served with the attached documents that said documents have not been filed with the Court.

Date

U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge

\*The term "counsel" as used herein also includes any pro se party. See Local Rule 1-3.

1 Arif A. Durrani #09027-014  
2 Federal Correctional Complex  
3 Victorville I  
4 P.O. Box 5300  
5 Adelanto, CA 92301



8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 ARIF A. DURRANI,  
12 Petitioner,  
13 v.  
14 S.A. HOLENCIK,  
15 Respondent.

CASE NO. CV 06-6281 PA

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION  
FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT. THE  
VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS AND  
RESPONDENT'S UNWARRANTED  
DELAY TO RELEASE PETITIONER  
IN VIOLATION OF THE DUAL  
CRIMINALITY; AND OR THE  
DOCTRINE OF SPECIALTY; AND OR  
THE EXTRADITION TREATY


Date: To be determined

Time: To be determined

Courtroom of the Honorable  
Percy Anderson, Judge


19  
20 Arif A. Durrani, ("Durrani"), Petitioner, hereby requests  
21 the District Court to grant the writ for violation of the dual  
22 criminality; and or the doctrine of specialty; and or the  
23 extradition treaty and immediately release the petitioner from the  
24 illegal detention in violation of §2241(c)(3) nunc pro tunc to  
25 date of September 23, 2005, and to set a time and date for a  
26 hearing. The District Court shall award the writ or issue an order  
27 within three days to the respondent to show cause why the writ  
28 should not be granted in compliance with §2243, determine the

Arif A. Durrani  
Reg. No. 09027-014  
Federal Correctional Complex  
Victorville I  
P.O. Box 5300  
Adelanto, CA 92301



December 5, 2007

Office of the Clerk  
U.S. District Court  
Central District of California  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012



Reference: Case No. CV 06-6281 PA

Dear Clerk:


Attached is my motion in triplicate to be filed in the above referenced case. The Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ordered the return of the this district court's referral under Rule 22-3(a) on November 16, 2007.

The Petition must be adjudicated under §2241 for the erroneous release order in violation of the dual criminality; and or the doctrine of specialty; and or the extradition treaty.

I have requested the district court to grant the writ or issue the show cause notice to the Respondent, within three days upon the filing of this petition.

Please file my motion and return one filed copy for my records. Your assistance in filing this petition is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

  
Arif A. Durrani

Encl: Three copies of §2241 Notice of Motion and Motion for Default judgment

cc: AUSA William A. Crowfoot, Office of the U.S. Attorney, Central District of California

AAD:ar